

Meeting Evaluation Summary from FUPWG Spring 2004 Meeting  
Brooklyn, New York  
April 22-23, 2004

---

A total of 89 members attended the meeting. 30 members filled out evaluation forms representing 34% of total attendees.

#### **SURVEY RESPONDENTS**

- 8 respondents were Federal agencies
- 4 were national laboratories
- 12 were utilities
- 2 were ESCOs
- 4 were “other”

#### **MEETING ATTENDANCE**

- 3 respondents indicated that they attend periodically
- 6 respondents attend meetings once a year
- 16 respondents attend meetings twice a year
- 5 respondents were new members

#### **FACTORS INFLUENCING DECISION TO ATTEND MEETING**

- 23 people listed “networking”
- 13 people listed “contracting”
- 19 people listed “technical information”
- 11 people listed “impending changes in the electric industry”
- 8 people listed “initiate projects”

#### **INCLINATION TO ATTEND FUTURE FUPWG MEETING**

- 24 people are inclined
- 3 people are not inclined

#### **FEMP ASSISTANCE IN IMPLEMENTING ENERGY/WATER EFFICIENCY and RENEWABLE ENERGY PROJECTS**

- 4 use direct project facilitation
- 7 use periodic consultation on specific issues
- 10 use background materials
- 9 use training workshops

**Project Data**

Nine FUPWG members indicated that they had awarded UESC projects or had engaged in new UESC project activity at Federal sites since the last FUPWG meeting that was held in the fall of 2003.

Type of Organization	Mechanical System Upgrade	Controls	Lighting	Renewables	Steam System Upgrades	Cogeneration	Water Conservation	Other	Resulting Savings
Fed Agency	3	2	4	1	1		1		\$830k /year
E&G utility					X (no exact number)				\$300k /year
ESCO	X (no exact number)	X (no exact number)	X (no exact number)		X (no exact number)		X (no exact number)		
ESCO			X (no exact number)						\$18k /year
E&G utility	X (no exact number)	X (no exact number)	X (no exact number)		X (no exact number)		X (no exact number)		
Electric utility	X (no exact number)	X (no exact number)	X (no exact number)		X (no exact number)				
Electric utility	4	5	6	1	5		6		
Electric utility	2		2				1		
Gas utility	1								
<b>Total</b>	<b>13+</b>	<b>10+</b>	<b>17+</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>9+</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10+</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>\$1.148M+ /year</b>

**REASONS WHY PROJECTS WERE IMPLEMENTED**

- Preliminary feasibility study was completed
- Contacts helped expedite the award

**OTHER DETAILS about how FEMP has provided project assistance**

- New, fresh attitude from FEMP – FEMP very open to suggestions
- Contracts/relationships facilitated by FEMP
- Enabling documents provided by FEMP

- Project ideas provided through FUPWG presentations
- Use of FEMP documents and FUPWG materials to educate customer
- Coordination and support in getting contract in place provided by FEMP
- FEMP assisted with legwork at H/Q level

**BARRIERS that have or may prevent project activity**

- Sunset of ESPC
- Funds not available
- Lack of a clear road map for utilities interested in implementing UESC projects
- Low energy cost in southeast U.S. makes achieving DPP and financed payback goals very difficult